



Interview Summary

Application No. 09/867,046

Applicant(s)

NATHANAEL HILL

Examiner

YVONNE M. HORTON

Art Unit 3635

(1) YVONNE M. HORTON (3) (2) ALLISON JOHNSON (4)	
Date of Interview Feb 25, 2003	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached any other comments:	or
MS. JOHNSON ASKED FOR AN INTERVIEW WHICH WAS SCHEDULED AT 9:00 HOWEVER SHE NEVER CALLED. THE	E_
EXAMINER PHONED HER OFFICE AND LEFT A MESSAGE.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims alloware available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ble is
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is check	ed).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required